

Advisory Committee – Warrant Article Questionnaire - Instructions 2022

Thanks for submitting a warrant article to Town Meeting. The Advisory Committee (the “AC”) assigns all articles to a subcommittee, which holds a public hearing that you should plan on attending. The subcommittee chair or a designee will work with you to set a mutually convenient date, within the confines of the time the subcommittee has available.

You do not need to make a formal presentation to the subcommittee, but it would be extremely helpful if you would complete the questionnaire below, answering only the questions that are relevant to your article. Please note that completing this questionnaire is discretionary. There is nothing to compel a sponsor under Massachusetts General Laws or the Town Bylaws for anything that is being requested.

Please enter your responses on this form¹ and email it at least three days in advance of the subcommittee hearing to the subcommittee chair and to lpportscher@brooklinema.gov. Providing your responses in advance will make the subcommittee hearing more efficient and quite possibly more satisfactory to all parties. (You may be asked for more detail at the hearing.)

After its hearing, the subcommittee will prepare a report for the AC, and you will be invited to attend a meeting of the Committee. The AC may decide to accept the subcommittee’s report without debate. In that case, the subcommittee’s report will be included in the Combined Reports, a document that is published in advance of Town Meeting and distributed to all Town Meeting Members. Alternatively, the AC may have a full discussion and debate, and then vote on a recommendation.

If that happens, you will be able to respond to questions and make a brief statement just before the full AC votes.

Note that the AC provides only recommendations to Town Meeting, and Town Meeting is not required to accept those recommendations. In addition, you will have an opportunity at Town Meeting to present your case for passing the warrant article.

We strongly recommended that you consult with Town Counsel or the Town Meeting Moderator to ensure that the article you submitted is in proper form, especially if it involves amending the Town’s General Bylaws or Zoning Bylaws. And if it involves Zoning, be sure to consult with the Community Planning & Development Department. Contact information for all parties is available on the Town’s website.

See pages 3-4 of the [Town Meeting Handbook](#), which explains how Town Meeting addresses warrant articles.

Thank you again for engaging in the civic life of the community.

¹ This is a fillable PDF, so you don't need Word or one of its alternatives, For tech support with the form, contact msandman@brooklinema.gov.

**Advisory Committee – Warrant Article Questionnaire
2022**

Today's Date	April 7th 2022
Warrant Article #	32
Article Title	Resolution Regarding calling for an end to the US embargo of Cuba
Petitioner(s)	Ryan Black, TMM p6
Petitioner's email	RyanBlackMedia@gmail.com

Not all of these questions apply to all warrant articles. Just answer the ones that do, SAVE the form, ideally with a new file name, and send it back to the chair of the subcommittee charged with vetting your article and to lportscher@brooklinema.gov. Thank you.

	Question	Responses (Enter N/A for “Not Applicable”)
1	Provide the most recent version of the article exactly as it is intended to be voted on by Town Meeting. Please highlight any changes from the version that was originally submitted.	https://docs.google.com/document/d/1OzEt6Suc8gWalngUon6anj95EaVx8fl/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=102512216820692194733&rtpof=true&sd=true

2	<p>Goals & Benefits (Briefly, or send a separate file):</p> <p>a. What is the intended policy goal of the proposed Warrant Article?</p> <p>b. Why is this important for the Town?</p> <p>c. Is this something that the Town should do, especially if there are State or Federal resources dedicated to the issue?</p> <p>d. How does the policy goal and the proposed action solve a problem? Does it provide a new benefit, or extend some existing benefit?</p> <p>e. Could Town staff or a Town Board or Committee address the issue effectively without action by Town Meeting?</p>	<p>In short, the intended policy goal is for Brookline Town Meeting to reaffirm its support for ending our federal government's embargo of Cuba. And, in turn, urge our Federal level representation to act accordingly. When Town Meeting previously passed a resolution on this matter, Jake Auchincloss was not our Representative in the U.S. House.</p> <p>Why is this important to the Town? The greater Boston area, including Brookline, is home to a great amount of medical and pharmaceutical research. Similarly, Cuba despite the obstacles imposed by the embargo has made great strides in the fields of medicine and healthcare. Lifting the embargo would open the door to productive exchanges of knowledge and collaboration; such benefits are made that much more desirable considering we all are in the midst of a pandemic.</p>
3	<p>Does the petitioner, now or in the future, have an equity interest or realize a direct or indirect financial benefit from positive action by Town Meeting?</p> <p>If so, what are those interests or benefits? Please disclose any potential conflict of interest.</p>	<p>I have no equity interest related to this matter. Nor do I stand to benefit financially from Town Meeting passing this resolution.</p>

Advisory Committee – Warrant Article Questionnaire
Fall 2021

	Question	Responses (Enter N/A for “Not Applicable”)
4	<p>General questions:</p> <p>a. Why is the proposed solution workable and effective?</p> <p>b. Is there a financial benefit from the proposed solution?</p> <p>c. Who will benefit and who might not benefit from the proposed action?</p> <p>d. What are the perceived pros and cons, both in the short and long term?</p> <p>e. What research on the topic supports or does not support the proposed article? f. What alternatives to the proposed action were</p>	<p>Weighing in on international affairs is something which Town Meeting has a history of doing.</p>

	considered?	
5	Consider the impact on town infrastructure (parking, roadways, parks, etc.); residents; the environment; employers; etc. Are there potential adverse effects from positive action on the article by Town Meeting?	N.A.
6	Consider town priorities and allocation of funding. What amount of funding might be required to start and maintain the proposed action? What is the source of those funds? How does the proposed article fit within the operating and/or capital budgets?	This article is merely a resolution, not re-allocation of funds.
7	How does the proposed article and implementation impact the Town administration and staff priorities? Will a department either need to divert staff from an existing program, subcontract the work or add staff?	This article is merely a resolution, not a by-law or policy change.
8	Who will be responsible for implementing the action that a favorable vote will require? Has the petitioner consulted with those participants?	The resolution does not task any Town staff or body to draft a second message upon passage; the passage of the resolution itself is sufficient.
9	Community Outreach: a. What steps has the petitioner taken to assure that interested parties were notified and provided an opportunity to participate in the preparation of the proposed article? b. Are there are Town Boards or Committees that might be consulted? c. If another board or committee has considered the action of the proposed article, please include a summary of the discussion and outcome.	N.A.
10	Prior Articles: a. Do you know whether Town Meeting previously considered any Warrant Articles that address the	(Continue on next page)

	same or similar topic? If so, do you know what the	
--	--	--

Advisory Committee – Warrant Article Questionnaire
Fall 2021

	Question	Responses (Enter N/A for “Not Applicable”)
	<p>outcome was?</p> <p>b. How does the proposed article differ from ones that were previously considered?</p> <p>c. Is there new information or are there new circumstances to support raising an issue that was previously considered by Town Meeting?</p>	<p>Yes, Town Meeting passed a similar resolution in Spring of 2016.</p> <p>The new circumstances that warrant Town Meeting re-affirming its support of this cause are:</p> <p>1) the fact that our Federal-level representation in the US House has changed, and 2) how the pandemic has exacerbated the hardships the embargo imposes on Cuba plus made clear the potential benefits of ending the policy (ex: joint medical pursuits).</p>
11	Anything else you would like the subcommittee to know?	N.A.